

EXHIBIT 3

THE STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

MERRIMACK, SS.

SUPERIOR COURT

BEFORE THE COURT-APPOINTED REFEREE
IN RE THE LIQUIDATION OF THE HOME INSURANCE COMPANY
DISPUTED CLAIMS DOCKET

In Re Liquidator Number: 2008-HICIL-42
Proof of Claim Number: RAHM 700612-32
Claimant Name: KX Reinsurance Company
Limited
Policy or Contract Number: _____
Date of Loss _____

**ORDER ON CENTURY INDEMNITY COMPANY'S
MOTION FOR CLARIFICATION**

Century Indemnity Company ("CIC") has filed a motion for clarification of the January 4, 2010 ruling (the "Ruling") on KX Reinsurance Company Limited's ("KX Re") motion to compel responses to certain discovery requests propounded to CIC.

The Referee has reviewed CIC motion and the Ruling and issues the following to clarify certain parts of the Ruling.

I. Interrogatory No. 3 and Document Request No. 3

The Ruling stated that, to the extent that Interrogatory No. 3 and Document Request No. 3 seek information about the position taken with other reinsurers as to claims by Brush Wellman and/or Norton Company that are at issue in this dispute, they were appropriate and CIC was ordered to respond. This part of the Ruling is hereby clarified as follows:

To the extent that Interrogatory No. 3 and Document Request No. 3 seek information about the position taken by ACE INA Services U.K. Limited ("AISUK") in connection with claims submitted to Home Insurance Company ("Home") under AFIA contracts by other insurers of Brush Wellman and other reinsurers of Norton Company they are appropriate and CIC is ordered to respond.

The Brush Wellman claims that are encompassed by this ruling, like KX Re's Brush Wellman claim, must be submitted in connection with the settlement of Brush Wellman's beryllium claims under excess policies and include a policy buy-back. The Norton Company claims that are encompassed by this ruling, like KX Re's Norton Company claim, must be submitted in connection with the settlement of Norton Company's Welsh Respirator claims under an excess of loss reinsurance treaty.

To the extent that CIC produces documents in connection with this ruling, CIC is permitted to redact from those documents any information that identifies the particular insurer or reinsurer that submitted the claim. The documents and information produced, if any, may be treated as "confidential" under the confidentiality agreement and order approved on April 21, 2009.

II. Interrogatory No. 4 and Document Request No. 4

The Ruling states that, to the extent that Interrogatory No. 4 and Document Request No. 4 seek information about the position CIC has taken on its own behalf related to the same claims by Brush Wellman and Norton Company that are at issue in this dispute, CIC is ordered to respond. This part of the Ruling is hereby clarified as follows:

CIC is ordered to respond to Interrogatory No. 4 and Document Request No. 4 to the extent this interrogatory and this request seek information about the position AISUK has taken on its own behalf or on behalf of CIC under reinsurance contracts governed by the law of the United Kingdom in connection with (1) beryllium claims against Brush Wellman under settlements that include the buyback of excess liability insurance policies, and (2) Welsh Respirator claims ceded by primary insurers of Norton Company under an excess of loss reinsurance treaty that include defense cost issues.

III. Scheduling Order

CIC has 45 days from the date of this order to provide the discovery ordered in the Ruling and herein.

The balance of the Ruling remains unchanged.

So ordered.

Date

Referee, Melinda S. Gehris